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# II 

 Reports
## Complete Report Card

## Institution Information

> Name of Institution: Hofstra University - Main

Institution/Program Type: Traditional

Academic Year: 2014-15

State: New York

Address: 129 Hagedorn Hall

119 Hofstra University

Hempstead, NY, 11549

Contact Name: Ms. Stacy Zalewski

## Email: stacy.l.zalewski@hofstra.edu

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title II Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education? (http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oii/tqp/index.html)

No

If yes, provide the following:
Award year:

Grantee name:

## Project name:

Grant number:

List partner districts/LEAs:
List other partners:
Project Type:

## Section I.a Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oii/tqp/index.html.

| Teacher Preparation Programs | Teacher Quality <br> Partnership Grant <br> Member? |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adv Cert Fine Arts and Music Education | No |


| Adv Cert Secondary Education | No |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adv Cert Speech-Language Disabilities | No |
| BA Early Childhood and Childhood Education | No |
| BA Early Childhood Education | No |
| BA Elementary Education | No |
| BA English Education | No |
| BA Foreign Language Education-French | No |
| BA Foreign Language Education-German | No |
| BA Science Education-Chemistry | No |
| BA Foreign Language Education-Italian | No |
| BA Mathematics Education | No |
| Na |  |


| BA Science Education-Earth Science | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| BA Science Education-Physics | No |
| BA Social Studies Education | No |
| BA/MA Elementary Education:STEM (5 year dual degree program) | No |
| BA/MSEd Psychology/Secondary SPED Generalist (5 year dual degree program) | No |
| BBA Business Education | No |
| BBA/MSEd Management \& Business Education (5 year dual degree program) | No |
| BS Health Education | No |
| BS/MS Health and Physical Education (5 year dual degree program) | No |
| BSEd Dance Education | No |
| BSEd Fine Arts Education | No |
| BSEd in Physical Education | No |
| BSEd Music Education | No |
| MA Speech-Language Pathology | No |


| MS Health Education | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| MS Physical Education | No |
| MSEd Business Education | No |
| MSEd Early Childhood and Childhood | No |
| MSEd Early Childhood Education | No |
| MSEd Elementary Education | No |
| MSEd English Education | No |
| MSEd Family and Consumer Science | No |
| MSEd Fine Arts Education | No |
| MSEd Foreign Language Education: French | No |
| MSEd Foreign Language Education: German | No |
| MSEd Foreign Language Education: Russian | No |
| MSEd Foreign Language Education: Spanish | No |
| MSEd Inclusive Early Childhood Special Education | No |


| MSEd Inclusive Elementary Special Education | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| MSEd Inclusive Secondary Special Education | No |
| MSEd Languages Other Than English \& TESOL | No |
| MSEd Literacy | No |
| MSEd Mathematics Education | No |
| MSEd Music Education | No |
| MSEd Science Education: Biology | No |
| MSEd Science Education: Chemistry | No |
| MSEd Science Education: Earth Science | No |
| MSEd Science Education: Physics | No |
| MSEd Secondary Special Education Generalist | No |
| MSEd Social Studies Education | No |
| MSEd Students with Disabilities 7-12 generalist w/extension in secondary ed | No |
| MSEd Teaching of English as a Second Language | No |

## Section I.b Admissions

Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program: Other see below

## Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students?

Yes

Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:
http://www.hofstra.edu/Admission/index.html

Please provide any additional comments about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

On the undergraduate level, our students in K-12 programs are accepted as freshmen; Undergraduate students preparing to teach at the early childhood, elementary or secondary level need to apply to the Department of Teacher Education Programs (TEP) the beginning of the sophomore year. In order to graduate, all undergraduate programs, with the exception on Health, require a minimum GPA of 2.75; Health requires minimum of 2.5 .

Applicants to our graduate initial certification programs must have a minimum of a bachelor's degree. GPA admissions requirements vary be program and department. Programs in offered through the Department of Specialized Programs (SPE) range from 2.75 to 3.0. Programs offered through the department of Teachers Education Programs (TEP) require a 2.75.

Admissions to Hofstra's 5-year dual degree Health/Physical ed program must have a combined SAT score of 1220 (or an ACT score of 27) and an overall high school GPA of 3.5 (or be in the top 15 percent of their high school graduating class) in order to be considered for admission. Students admitted directly to the dual-degree program as incoming freshmen will be admitted automatically to the M.S. program at the end of their junior year provided they a cumulative GPA of 3.0 or above and at least $90 \mathrm{S.H}$. of coursework towards the B.S.

## Section I.b Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

## Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Undergraduate level.

| Element | Required for Entry | Required for Exit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transcript | Yes | Yes |
| Fingerprint check | No | No |
| Background check | No | No |
| Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed | Yes | Yes |
| Minimum GPA | Yes | Yes |
| Minimum GPA in content area coursework | Yes | Yes |
| Minimum GPA in professional education coursework | Yes | Yes |
| Minimum ACT score | No | No |
| Minimum SAT score | No | No |
| Minimum basic skills test score | No | No |
| Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification | No | No |


| Recommendation(s) | Yes | Yes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Essay or personal statement | Yes | Yes |
| Interview | Yes | Yes |
| Other Portfolio for Fine Arts Education | Yes | Yes |

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program?
2.75

What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2014-15

### 3.51

What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?

### 2.75

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2014-15

### 3.48

Please provide any additional comments about the information provided above:

## Section I.b Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?
Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Postgraduate level.

| Element | Required for Entry | Required for Exit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transcript | Yes | Yes |
| Fingerprint check | No | No |
| Background check | No | No |
| Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed | Yes | Yes |
| Minimum GPA | Yes | Yes |
| Minimum GPA in content area coursework | Yes | Yes |
| Minimum GPA in professional education coursework | Yes | Yes |
| Minimum ACT score | No | No |
| Minimum SAT score | No | No |
| Minimum basic skills test score | No | No |
| Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification | Yes | Yes |
| Recommendation(s) | Yes | Yes |


| Essay or personal statement | Yes | Yes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Interview | Yes | Yes |
| Other Portfolio for Fine Arts Education | Yes | Yes |

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program?
2.87

What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2014-15

### 3.15

What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?
3

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2014-15
3.82

Please provide any additional comments about the information provided above:

## Section I.c Enrollment

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled.

For the purpose of Title II reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and not an enrolled student.

Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.

| Total number of students enrolled in 2014-15: |  | 454 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2014-15: |  | 115 |
| Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2014-15: |  | 339 |
| 2014-15 | Number e | nrolled |
| Ethnicity |  |  |
| Hispanic/Latino of any race: | 50 |  |
| Race |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native: | 3 |  |
| Asian: | 35 |  |
| Black or African American: | 33 |  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: | 1 |  |
| White: | 303 |  |
| Two or more races: | 5 |  |

## Section I.d Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2014-15.

| Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching | 100 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average number of clock hours required for student teaching | 450 |
| Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support | 0 |
| Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year | 5 |
| Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and <br> PreK-12 staff) | 51 |
| Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year | 341 |

## Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Students within all program options leading to NYS teaching certification are placed in clinical settings representing the full range of grade levels and developmental levels covered in their area of certification. We seek placements in districts and schools that meet the following criteria:

1. Good school climate; Hofstra students are welcome.
2. Cooperating Teachers genuinely enjoy children, teaching, and mentoring and have at least three years of teaching experience within the area of certification.
3. Cooperating Teachers are comfortable having the Hofstra student introduce new materials and methods in the classroom.
4. Placement is generally congruent with Hofstra's program objectives. It is our goal for Hofstra students to have opportunities to observe and plan lessons that:

- integrate the language arts and Common Core curriculum standards
- actively engage learners in hands-on, inquiry based activities
- value student voice and student decision-making
- provide opportunities for students to make meaning from their experiences
- respect students' diverse backgrounds
- reflect positive classroom management
- integrate curriculum and reflect thematic approaches
- provide for student interaction and cooperative learning
- emphasize process and the introduction of "big" ideas and concepts
- utilize small group instruction and adapt to varied student needs
- integrate appropriate technology

Student teachers spend approximately 15 weeks in supervised clinical settings and typically are placed in two settings that address the full range of developmental/grade levels covered by their area of certification. Hofstra University faculty members observe students multiple times in each setting and conduct a weekly seminar with student teachers on campus. The goal is to develop reflective, activist, scholar practitioners who raise questions, look reflectively at their work, and make decisions about children, materials and curriculum that are informed by research. Both cooperating teachers and clinical supervisors evaluate student teachers under close clinical supervision and provide direct feedback. Student teachers are required to electronically submit all lesson plans in advance of teaching. Students also submit weekly reflections on their teaching practices and submit planning, instructional, and assessment commentaries consistent with edTPA requirements. Faculty review student reflection documents. All program options require the submission of a student teaching portfolio. Videotaping of lessons occurs as part of regular student teaching as well as part of the edTPA portfolio.

One program option provides close clinical supervision prior to student teaching. Undergraduate early childhood and childhood students have two semesters of close clinical supervision prior to student teaching. During these two semesters, students are placed in a school setting for 9 hours a week for 10 weeks. We observe students teaching small group lessons four times during each semester. These placements are associated with methods courses in social studies, language arts, mathematics and science. In addition, graduate level early childhood and childhood students have two semester of close clinical supervision prior to student teaching where they are placed in a school setting for 45 hours each over the course of both semesters.

The secondary education program also provides for a close clinical supervision experience prior to student teaching. During the semester prior to student teaching, students are placed in a school setting for 10 hours per week for 5 weeks. Students are observed teaching small group lessons two times during each semester in their content area. These placements are associated with methods courses in social studies, English, mathematics, science, and languages other than English.

The LOTE/TESOL Dual Program spans two semesters with LOTE being the primary certification area. An eight week ESL placement that includes 4 weeks at the elementary level and 4 weeks at the secondary level follows the full 15 week LOTE student teaching experience.

The Physical Education/Health Dual Program involves an additional 5 week health student teaching placement after a full 15 week PE student teaching experience that is half at the elementary and half at the secondary levels.

## Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2014-15. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. 'Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. (\$205(b)(1)(H))

| Subject Area | Number Prepared |
| :--- | :---: |
| Education - General | 308 |
| Teacher Education - Special Education | 22 |
| Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education | 45 |
| Teacher Education - Elementary Education | 49 |
| Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education |  |
| Teacher Education - Secondary Education | 83 |
| Teacher Education - Multiple Levels |  |
| Teacher Education - Agriculture |  |


| Teacher Education - Art | 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teacher Education - Business | 1 |
| Teacher Education - English/Language Arts | 12 |
| Teacher Education - Foreign Language | 6 |
| Teacher Education - Health | 17 |
| Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics | 1 |
| Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts |  |
| Teacher Education - Mathematics | 13 |
| Teacher Education - Music | 22 |
| Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching | 11 |
| Teacher Education - Reading |  |
| Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science | 7 |
| Teacher Education - Social Science |  |
| Teacher Education - Social Studies | 16 |


| Teacher Education - Technical Education |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Teacher Education - Computer Science |  |
| Teacher Education - Biology | 4 |
| Teacher Education - Chemistry | 1 |
| Teacher Education - Drama and Dance | 7 |
| Teacher Education - French |  |
| Teacher Education - German |  |
| Teacher Education - Psychology |  |
| Teacher Education - History | 2 |
| Teacher Education - Physics Education - Speech |  |
|  |  |
| Teacher Education - Latin |  |


| Teacher Education - Earth Science | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Teacher Education - English as a Second Language | 9 |
| Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education |  |
| Education - Other | 2 |
| Specify: Mandarin |  |

## Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2014-15. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

| Academic Major | Number Prepared |
| :--- | :---: |
| Education - General | 308 |
| Teacher Education - Special Education | 3 |
| Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education | 39 |
| Teacher Education - Elementary Education | 41 |
| Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education |  |
| Teacher Education - Secondary Education |  |


| Teacher Education - Agriculture |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teacher Education - Art | 2 |
| Teacher Education - Business |  |
| Teacher Education - English/Language Arts | 19 |
| Teacher Education - Foreign Language |  |
| Teacher Education - Health | 3 |
| Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics |  |
| Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts | 4 |
| Teacher Education - Mathematics | 8 |
| Teacher Education - Music | 25 |
| Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching | 16 |
| Teacher Education - Reading |  |
| Teacher Education - Science |  |
| Teacher Education - Social Science |  |


| Teacher Education - Social Studies | 10 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Teacher Education - Technical Education |  |
| Teacher Education - Computer Science |  |
| Teacher Education - Biology |  |
| Teacher Education - Chemistry |  |
| Teacher Education - Drama and Dance |  |
| Teacher Education - French |  |
| Teacher Education - Latin |  |
| Teacher Education - German |  |
| Teacher Education - History |  |
| Teacher Education - Spanish |  |


| Teacher Education - Psychology |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Teacher Education - Earth Science |  |
| Teacher Education - English as a Second Language |  |
| Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education |  |
| Education - Curriculum and Instruction |  |
| Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education |  |
| Liberal Arts/Humanities |  |
| Psychology | 3 |
| Anthropology |  |
| Economics |  |
| Geography and Cartography Sciences | 3 |
|  |  |


| Visual and Performing Arts | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| History | 6 |
| Foreign Languages | 6 |
| Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences |  |
| English Language/Literature | 12 |
| Philosophy and Religious Studies | 3 |
| Agriculture |  |
| Communication or Journalism | 11 |
| Engineering | 1 |
| Biology | 2 |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 3 |
| Physical Sciences |  |
| Astronomy and Astrophysics |  |
| Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology | 1 |


| Chemistry | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences | 2 |
| Physics | 1 |
| Business/Business Administration/Accounting | 6 |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 1 |
| Other | 3 |
| Specify: Interdisciplinary Studies |  |
| Section I.f Program Completers |  |

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years:

2014-15: 309

2013-14: 384

2012-13: 397

## Section II Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.
(§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))
Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

## Academic year 2014-15

Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2014-15?
Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2014-15?

## 20

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2014-15?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:
Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

The plan is to keep the 20 new students acquired in 2013-1014 and to add 20 more students.

My students have begun to acquire more full-time teaching positions this year. I project that the trend will continue to rise. Moreover, my current students are academically stronger than those in the past.

Academic year 2015-16
Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2015-16?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2015-16?
20

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
We did not meet our goal for the 2015-16 academic year. There was a reduction in new students in the Mathematics Education programs because Hofstra's 5-year Robert Noyce Scholarship Program (NSFfunded) had expired. At the same time, our closest neighboring university had acquired a new Robert

Noyce Scholarship Program. Consequently, some of our potential students were recruited by that university.

Academic year 2016-17

Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2016-17?
Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2016-17?

## 20

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
In the summer of 2015, Hofstra applied to the National Science Foundation (NSF) for a re-instatement of the 5-year Robert Noyce Scholarship Program, with a $15 \%$ increase in funding. Fortunately, we were recently notified that our proposal was funded for 2016-2021. Since our Mathematics Education programs are recognized as high-quality programs and $99 \%$ of our students are acquiring excellent teaching positions, it is anticipated that Hofstra will meet or surpass its enrollment goal. Student enrollment should begin to increase in Fall 2016.

## Section II Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.
(§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic years.

## Academic year 2014-15

Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2014-15?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2014-15?

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2014-15?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

We exceeded expectations.

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Continue with grant writing to further expand clinical practice. Strengthen recruitment efforts with the four science departments to interest Hofstra undergraduates in the MSED teacher preparation programs.

Partnered with Hofstra's NSF NOYCE grant to educate science teachers.

Academic year 2015-16

Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2015-16?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2015-16?

6

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Two grants were secured this year to strengthen student capacity building and find partnerships with neighboring school districts. We will continue these endeavors

Academic year 2016-17

Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2016-17?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2016-17?

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

## Section II Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2014-15
Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2014-15?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2014-15?
30

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2014-15?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
We developed new programs to meet needs in special education areas not previously addressed in existing programs including a MSED in international special education. We have also adapted most of our programs to include hybrid and on-line courses to respond to students.

## Academic year 2015-16

Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2015-16?
Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2015-16?

## 30

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We continue to develop new programs to meet needs in special education, not previously addressed in existing programs, including an advanced certificate in childhood special education. All of the special education programs are hybrid.

Academic year 2016-17

Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2016-17?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2016-17?

30

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
Continue to develop new programs to meet the needs in special education. We are currently developing programs in the area of adaptive physical education, a dual certification early childhood-childhood special education as well as a five year programs in general education/special education. We will continue to use the hybrid model throughout our programs.

## Section II Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2014-15

Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2014-15? Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2014-15?

6

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2014-15?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

The Program administrators have made concerted efforts to engage alumni in dissiminating the info about the program. Additionally, social media have been used as a platform for educating prospective applicants about the TESOL Programs.

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

The program is going to focus on recruiting candidates who will be interested in teaching in the highneeds school in NYC as well as NYS.

Academic year 2015-16

Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2015$16 ?$

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2015-16?

4

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2016-17

Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 201617?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

7

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

## Section II Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(b)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends. Yes

Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.
Yes

Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.
Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.
Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.
Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from lowincome families.
Yes

Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable. Yes

## Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

All prospective teachers are provided with a variety of clinical settings during the course of their prepracticum and student teaching experiences. These clinical settings expose prospective teachers to multicultural settings and varied populations of students. Clinical placements are tied to coursework that prepares candidates to create culturally relevant learning experiences. Our expectation is that candidates will demonstrate the ability to differentiate instruction for all learners including limited English proficient learners, students from low income families and students with disabilities. All prospective general education teachers are required to complete coursework and clinical placements in a special education setting as well as fulfill a clinical placement in a setting designated "high needs" by New York State. Although situated in a suburban setting, Hofstra University's close proximity to New York City provides opportunities for urban experiences for prospective teachers. Hofstra University participates in the New York City Department of Education Teacher Learning Collaborative program. The richness of these clinical experiences provides an effective tool for training prospective teachers in the stipulated areas.

Section III Assessment Pass Rates

| Assessment code - Assessment name <br> Test Company <br> Group | Number <br> taking <br> tests | Avg. <br> scaled <br> score | Number <br> passing <br> tests | Pass <br> rate <br> (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 202 -ACADEMIC LITERACY SKILLS TEST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 3 |  |  |  |
| 202 -ACADEMIC LITERACY SKILLS TEST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> Other enrolled students | 64 | 535 | 59 | 92 |


| 202 -ACADEMIC LITERACY SKILLS TEST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 157 | 536 | 152 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 202 -ACADEMIC LITERACY SKILLS TEST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2013-14 | 134 | 534 | 119 | 89 |
| 006 -BIOLOGY CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 3 |  |  |  |
| 006 -BIOLOGY CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 006 -BIOLOGY CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 006 -BIOLOGY CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 069 -BUSINESS AND MARKETING CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 2 |  |  |  |
| 069 -BUSINESS AND MARKETING CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 069 -BUSINESS AND MARKETING CST | 11 | 250 | 11 | 100 |



| All program completers, 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 070 -DANCE CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 070 -DANCE CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 6 |  |  |  |
| TP014 -EARLY CHILDHOOD <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 1 |  |  |  |
| TP014 -EARLY CHILDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 43 | 50 | 43 | 100 |
| TP014 -EARLY CHILDHOOD <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 28 | 48 | 28 | 100 |
| 008 -EARTH SCIENCE CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 3 |  |  |  |
| 201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 81 | 526 | 73 | 90 |


| 201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 187 | 531 | 182 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 138 | 533 | 137 | 99 |
| 090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 1 |  |  |  |
| 090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 86 | 258 | 86 | 100 |
| 090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 197 | 263 | 195 | 99 |
| TP1 10 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 5 |  |  |  |
| TP1 10 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2014-15 | 7 |  |  |  |
| TP110 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION | 5 |  |  |  |


| Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP115-ENGLISH AS AN ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 5 |  |  |  |
| TP115-ENGLISH AS AN ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2013-14 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 003 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 2 |  |  |  |
| 003 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 003 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 15 | 238 | 15 | 100 |
| 003 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 16 | 248 | 15 | 94 |
| 003.1 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 2 |  |  |  |
| 003.1 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson | 7 |  |  |  |


| All program completers, 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003.1 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 022 -ESOL CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 3 |  |  |  |
| 022 -ESOL CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 4 |  |  |  |
| 022 -ESOL CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 022 -ESOL CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 10 | 249 | 10 | 100 |
| 022 -ESOL CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 5 |  |  |  |
| TP117 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 072 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2013-14 | 1 |  |  |  |


| 072 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2012-13 | 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 072.1 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2014-15 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 012 -FRENCH CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 1 |  |  |  |
| TP1 19 -HEALTH EDUCATION <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 1 |  |  |  |
| 073 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 2 |  |  |  |
| 073 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 14 | 246 | 14 | 100 |
| 073 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 12 | 260 | 12 | 100 |
| 073 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2012-13 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 073.1 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST. 1 | 1 |  |  |  |


| Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 073.1 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST. 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 4 |  |  |  |
| 073.1 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST. 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 073.1 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST. 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 016 -ITALIAN CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 2 |  |  |  |
| TP021 -K-12 PERFORMING ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 4 |  |  |  |
| TP021 -K-12 PERFORMING ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 27 | 49 | 25 | 93 |
| TP021 -K-12 PERFORMING ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 10 | 51 | 10 | 100 |
| TP011 -K-12 PHYSICAL EDUCATION <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson | 1 |  |  |  |


| Other enrolled students |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP011 -K-12 PHYSICAL EDUCATION <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 9 |  |  |  |
| TP011 -K-12 PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 001 -LIBERAL ARTS \& SCIENCES TEST (LAST) <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2013-14 | 121 | 259 | 121 | 100 |
| 001 -LIBERAL ARTS \& SCIENCES TEST (LAST) <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2012-13 | 306 | 259 | 300 | 98 |
| 065.1 -LITERACY CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 018 -MANDARIN CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 1 |  |  |  |
| 018 -MANDARIN CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 018 -MANDARIN CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 4 |  |  |  |


| 004 -MATHEMATICS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 004 -MATHEMATICS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 004 -MATHEMATICS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 22 | 272 | 22 | 100 |
| 004 -MATHEMATICS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 19 | 275 | 19 | 100 |
| 004.1 -MATHEMATICS CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 1 |  |  |  |
| 004.1 -MATHEMATICS CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 1211 -MULTI-SUBJECT BIRTH TO GRADE 2 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1211 -MULTI-SUBJECT BIRTH TO GRADE 2 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2014-15 | 39 | 1634 | 31 | 79 |
| 002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST | 27 | 247 | 26 | 96 |


| Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 28 | 251 | 28 | 100 |
| 002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 81 | 244 | 76 | 94 |
| 002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 108 | 249 | 106 | 98 |
| 1221 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 1-6 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 5 |  |  |  |
| 1221 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 1-6 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 36 | 1651 | 34 | 94 |
| 1241 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 7-12 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1241 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 7-12 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 075 -MUSIC CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson | 20 | 241 | 19 | 95 |


| Other enrolled students |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 075 -MUSIC CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 27 | 250 | 26 | 96 |
| 075 -MUSIC CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 20 | 250 | 20 | 100 |
| 075 -MUSIC CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 24 | 250 | 23 | 96 |
| 076 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 2 |  |  |  |
| 076 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 076 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 15 | 240 | 15 | 100 |
| 076 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 27 | 233 | 25 | 93 |
| 076.1 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 4 |  |  |  |



| Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 902 -SAFETY NET MULTI-SUBJECT <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 091 -SECONDARY ATS-W <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 2 |  |  |  |
| 091 -SECONDARY ATS-W <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 091 -SECONDARY ATS-W <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 53 | 262 | 53 | 100 |
| 091 -SECONDARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 108 | 263 | 108 | 100 |
| TP003 -SECONDARY ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 3 |  |  |  |
| TP003 -SECONDARY ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 1 |  |  |  |
| TP003 -SECONDARY ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson | 7 |  |  |  |


| All program completers, 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP003 -SECONDARY ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2013-14 | 8 |  |  |  |
| TP004 -SECONDARY HISTORY/SOCIAL STUDIES <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2014-15 | 14 | 50 | 14 | 100 |
| TP004 -SECONDARY HISTORY/SOCIAL STUDIES <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2013-14 | 3 |  |  |  |
| TP005 -SECONDARY MATHEMATICS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 1 |  |  |  |
| TP005 -SECONDARY MATHEMATICS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 3 |  |  |  |
| TP005 -SECONDARY MATHEMATICS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 9 |  |  |  |
| TP005 -SECONDARY MATHEMATICS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 13 | 51 | 13 | 100 |
| TP006 -SECONDARY SCIENCE <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 9 |  |  |  |


| TP006 -SECONDARY SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 7 |  |  |  |
| 005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2014-15 | 17 | 242 | 14 | 82 |
| 005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 16 | 239 | 16 | 100 |
| 020 -SPANISH CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 1 |  |  |  |
| 020 -SPANISH CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 020 -SPANISH CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 1 |  |  |  |
| TP012-SPECIAL EDUCATION | 2 |  |  |  |


| Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP012 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 15 | 47 | 14 | 93 |
| TP012 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 7 |  |  |  |
| 060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2014-15 | 15 | 243 | 15 | 100 |
| 060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 23 | 233 | 20 | 87 |
| 060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 39 | 239 | 38 | 97 |
| 060.1 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 6 |  |  |  |
| 060.1 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson | 20 | 551 | 20 | 100 |


| All program completers, 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 060.1 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST. 1 <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All program completers, 2013-14 | 3 |  |  |  |
| TP015 -VISUAL ARTS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 5 |  |  |  |
| TP015 -VISUAL ARTS <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 079 -VISUAL ARTS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students | 5 |  |  |  |
| 079 -VISUAL ARTS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 079 -VISUAL ARTS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 079 -VISUAL ARTS CST <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13 | 11 | 249 | 11 | 100 |
| TP020 -WORLD LANGUAGE <br> Evaluation Systems group of Pearson <br> All enrolled students who have completed all noncl | 3 |  |  |  |



## Section III Summary Pass Rates

| Group | Number <br> taking <br> tests | Number <br> passing <br> tests | Pass <br> rate <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All program completers, 2014-15 | 257 | 231 | 90 |
| All program completers, 2013-14 | 262 | 239 | 91 |
| All program completers, 2012-13 | 309 | 297 | 96 |

## Section IV Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program.

Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?
Yes
If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:
TEAC
Middle States

Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as 'low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?
No

## Section V Use of Technology

Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction

Yes

- use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning Yes
- use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning Yes
- use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning Yes

Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

## SPECIAL EDUCATION:

All special education programs integrate technology into curricula and instruction. The special education programs include hybrid and/or on-line courses that not only actively engage students but model the strengths of technology in instruction. In addition to the use of Blackboard, Smartboard, Voicethread, Screencast-o-matic and other applications, faculty has been trained in the use of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) through CAST. Pre-service teachers are required to take Sped 277, a course dedicated to the use of assistive technology in education and life skills, and the introduction of Universal Design for Learning and its application in curriculum and instruction (The course is given in the online format as well as face to face. Students interact and produce lesson planning that integrates high levels of technology. Other courses offered online or face to face include SPED 248, 241, 241, 216, 247.)

Sped 245, a curriculum and methods course, requires the use of an UDL lesson plan and instruction with multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression, during an eight week tutorial that preservice teacher participate in. At that time all students work one on one with students employing UDL as the foundation of their teaching. Student s are required to incorporate interactive, web-based, and handson learning resources as well as assistive technology (as needed) into their instruction.

Assessment courses provide pre-service teachers with the knowledge of using technology to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to look at student achievement. Now with the IDEIA mandate,

Response to Intervention, Sped 242 (offered in online and face to face format) is expanding to include detailed work in progress monitoring which will help pre-service teacher assess the effectiveness of their instruction. In Sped 247 students work extensively with functional behavioral analysis and application of that knowledge and skill in authentic case studies course focused on student assessment and developing a student profile to be used for instruction and the development of an individual education plan.

## PHYSICAL EDUCATION:

The physical education curricula include completely online and hybrid courses, and individual courses make extensive use of the functions of the Blackboard LMS system (such as voice thread, discussion board, assignments) as well as online resources provided with textbooks (online labs, videos, and other learning experiences). Specific examples of integration of technology into instruction in the following courses is listed below:

PESP 50, MSPE 266 - Introduction to Technology in Physical Education. Course learning experiences include: information retrieval, using the Internet for teaching, data management basics, desktop publishing basics, use of digital cameras.

MSPE 270 - Electronic Portfolio - Students create an electronic portfolio and present it to a panel of faculty members.

PESP 13a: Students use digital video to analyze fundamental motor skills and present their findings in a PowerPoint presentation.Students use computer software to collect, analyze and present data for class lab experiences.

PESP 80, MSPE 257: Students learn to use technology for fitness: computer software, heart rate monitors.

PESP 167: Students create a digital video of a skill demonstration/explanation.
Student Teaching: Students must demonstrate and document the use of a variety of instructional technology in their teaching.

Uses technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning in the following courses:
PESP 108: Students learn how to assess students in all three domains, collect data, and use SPSS to analyze data.

PESP 80, MSPE 257: Students use the Physical Best fitness software to analyze and present data.

BIO 106: Students learn to use technology to collect data related to exercise: blood pressure, heart rate, etc.

Uses technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning:

PESP 50: Students learn to manage typical class data using an excel spreadsheet
PESP 80, MSPE 257: Students use the Physical Best fitness software to analyze and present data.
Uses technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning:

PESP 104/MSPE 260, MSPE 256: Students use the SOFIT system to systematically observe teaching and collect and analyze data.

Universal Design for Learning:
The physical education program also incorporates the use of Universal Design Principles in many of its courses.

PESP 13a \& 167 - Motor Development and Motor Learning: The theoretical basis for the approach taken in these classes - Dynamic Systems Approach - emphasizes that motor skill development, learning, and performance are a result of the interactions between the individual, task and environment. The goal in teaching then becomes identification and manipulation of key constraints to guide learners in their search for the optimal movement solution to achieve the task goal. Inherent in this approach is the attention to the individual. In these classes students learn principles for arranging the learning environment to meet the needs of the learner.

In PESP 13a attention is focused on individual, task, and environmental constraints affecting the development and performance of fundamental motor skills across the lifespan.

In PESP 167 students focus on how physical skills are produced, controlled, and learned and about the effects of individual, task and environmental constraints those processes with a view toward maximizing the learning experience for each individual learner. The importance of providing multiple, flexible methods of presentation and expression is emphasized.

Throughout the major physical education classes in the curriculum, students have a variety of assignments such as designing web quests, making and using visual aids (posters, graphic organizers, etc.), creating and using Powerpoint presentations and digital videos, as well as giving effective demonstrations and explanations.

PESP 80: Programming Fitness Activities: Students learn to implement developmentally appropriate fitness programs, including consideration of assessment, content, and influence of gender, multicultural issues and socioeconomic factors on fitness.

PESP 154/MSPE 242, PESP 103/MSPE 260: Elementary Content, Methods, and Secondary Methods classes emphasize the more practical aspects of creating learning experiences that meet individual needs. The use of differentiated instruction and creating, supervising, and managing safe, developmentally appropriate progressive practice activities is emphasized and assessed in practice teaching episodes both
in class and in field experiences. Methods for promoting learning in the affective area (personal and social responsibility) is also emphasized. Special emphasis is given to the variety of experiences available through the use of adventure education (PESP 119). Students use video cameras as well as software to edit and compress videos of themselves teaching. Students submit an electronic notebook of work related to their field placement.

PESP 108: Assessment in Physical Education: Students learn to use a variety of assessment strategies and instruments to enhance and provide accountability for the teaching-learning process in physical education. Emphasis is on the selection and use of developmentally appropriate assessment strategies and instruments, including computers and other technology congruent with physical activity learning goals.

PESP 170/170A: Adapted Physical Education and Field Experience: This class is specifically focused on helping students to learn to provide effective movement learning experiences and fitness activities for people with disabilities. Students submit an electronic notebook of work related to their field placement.

Student Teaching: In this capstone experience, students are expected to demonstrate competency in each of the UDL Principles. Evidenced for this is provided in the Student Teaching Handbook assignment and assessment descriptions as well as in the student teaching rubric.

The physical education program requires teacher candidates take a course in adapted physical education that focuses on a wide variety of specific disabilities and curriculum and method applications across the range of disabilities. As part of this course they are required to complete a supervised 20-hour field experience in placements in which they will experience a variety of disabilities and programming. The course also covers legal responsibilities of teachers. As part of this course students also teach sample lessons to accommodate specific disabilities and develop IEPs. The programs include foundation courses which cover the legal responsibilities of teachers and the role of educators in the general education and special education process.

Department of Teacher Education Programs (TEP):
The TEP department prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction in a variety of ways. Faculty model the use of various types of technology in the classroom during different courses including the use of such methods as Smart Board or Podcasting. Students then have the opportunity to use the technology in activities and presentations in the classroom. For example in ELED 227 , students select a theorist and then present the background and educational impact of the theorist. For their presentation they must use a form of technology like Power Point to make their presentation to their peers. In SED 151 and SED 264 students present a motivational activity using different forms of technology to hook the class into the learning of the new content. Or, in ELED 205, students go to interactive websites to add activities to their thematic units to help build the background knowledge of the students they will teach. Along with this, faculty present to students different methods of gathering data on the students they will be teaching by using technology. This might take the form of demonstrating what websites are good resources for building and developing rubrics or how to create a survey that will provide information about students' interests. Along with this, faculty use the National Library of Virtual

Images to make concepts come alive. This also helps build background knowledge for the diverse needs of the students. It should also be noted that teachers in our science classes like ELED 128 and 208 use tools in the garden and chemicals in their classes to demonstrate concepts that they are learning. Students then use this information in the classrooms that they are participating in their field experience.

The principles of universal design are included in all of our classes. Our child development courses focus on the development of the child as an individual and the need to interact with and create the appropriate environment for the student as an individual. In method courses faculty have students create lessons that include differentiated instruction. The goal for these lessons is to meet the needs of the individual learner. These lessons will have a variety of tasks that students can choose from that will demonstrate what they have learned. Along with creating a classroom environment that suits the learning styles of students, teachers include choice as an important aspect of their lesson design. For example in ELED 205, students participate in literature circles and select the books they will read. This is done to differentiate by abilities and interests. A similar activity occurs in a joint project between literacy and social studies. In their classes of ELED $127 / 136$ and ELED 125/135, students select and then read biographies in literature circles. Students meet in groups that they select that are appropriate to their interests and needs.

In addition, In the B.S. In Education, Dance Education, Program, students take the course Educational Technology in Dance Education, where they learn to use applications that support the deepening of learning in dance. Students learn to shoot and edit video; edit music with voiceovers; use Smartboard technology; and use and apply free applications such as Prezi, Pinterest, Fakebook, Glogster, and iPad apps to engage students. They also make their own blog to document their use of technology in dance education.

In their dance methods courses, CT 119 and CT 120, and in their student teaching, they then use these technologies to enhance their teaching.

## Section VI Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

## Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

- teach students with disabilities effectively

Yes

- participate as a member of individualized education program teams

Yes

- teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

Yes

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

The primary goal of our program is to provide a comprehensive educational program for all students. This requires careful consideration because we want to design effective curriculum that helps to avoid classifying a child. Our goal is to ensure that all students have effective instruction. Therefore, RTI is examined in our instructional program

This model moves from remediation to intervention. We want our students to understand how a child is responding to strategies and instruction and when intervention is needed. Our program helps teachers recognize what techniques can be used to support the struggling learner. The goal is always assessment to provide appropriate instruction.

In addition, our program provides for teaching students with disabilities and limited English learners through the use of differentiated instruction. Differentiation instruction in our program refers to differentiating the content, process, and / or product. This is achieved by assessment of students and the use of flexible grouping which reflects students' readiness, interest and learning profile. In addition, centers are used to further facilitate differentiated activities for all students.

We have a whole graduate program devoted to supporting students ELL learners. Additionally, our curriculum is designed to support all students' cultural differences. Our literature is multicultural. This point of view cuts across all subject areas, and addresses the histories and experiences of people who have been left out of the curriculum. Its purpose is to help us deal equitably with all the cultural and racial differences that you find in the human family. It is also a perspective that allows us to get at explanations for why things are the way they are in terms of power relationships, in terms of equality issues.

The TESOL immigration studies courses examine sociological and ethnographic studies of immigrant communities and interpret research data for their implications for instruction. Further, TESOL linguistic classes investigate findings in the areas of Second Language Acquisition with the special focus on the development contsrints and opportunities of L2 learning. These linguistic classes draw the link between research findings and classroom practice., placing special emphasis on the findings in neurolinguistics to prepare teacher learners engage in brain-compatible pedagogy. Finally, TESOL pedagogy classes seek to push TESOL instruction into the farthest reaches of ELLs' zones of proximal development and to prepare teacher learners to develop rigorous, standards-based instruction that enables ELLs have enriching and meaningful academic experiences.

The physical education program requires teacher candidates take a courses in adapted physical education that focuses on a wide variety of specific disabilities and curriculum and method applications across the range of disabilities. As part of this course they are required to complete a supervised 15 -hour field
experience in placements in which they will experience a variety of disabilities and programming. The course also covers legal responsibilities of teachers. As part of this course students also teach sample lessons to accommodate specific disabilities and develop IEPs. The programs include foundation courses which cover the legal responsibilities of teachers and the role of educators in the general education and special education process.

## Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

- teach students with disabilities effectively

Yes

- participate as a member of individualized education program teams Yes
- teach students who are limited English proficient effectively Yes

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

The Special Education Programs (Masters in Special Education, Masters in Early Childhood Special Education, Masters in Inclusive Elementary Special Education, Masters in Inclusive Secondary Education, Masters in Inclusive Early Childhood Education, Master in Special Education and Literacy, Masters in Secondary Special Education Generalist, Masters in Students with Disabilities 7-12 Generalist, w/extension in secondary education, CAS in Early Childhood Special Education, CAS in Teaching Students with Severe and Multiple Disabilities) all include coursework that specifically addresses teaching students with disabilities effectively, participating as a member of individualized education program teams, and teaching students who have limited English proficiency. Most courses include field experiences that require pre-service teachers to work with students, applying coursework to practice.

Pre-service teachers at the childhood and secondary levels take courses on specific disabilities and curriculum and method applications across the range of disabilities. Pre-service teachers at the early childhood level take courses across the developmental domains, and in curriculum and methods. All programs include foundation courses which cover the legal responsibilities of teachers and the role of educators in the general education and special education process. This knowledge is further developed in curriculum and methods courses and issue courses in which students develop IEPs from case studies, and discuss the specific roles and responsibilities of all members of the team.

Cultural competency and culturally responsive instruction as well as the needs of English language learners are part of all courses and discussed in particular detail in the required course concerning building relationships with parents of children with disabilities. During the summer of 2009 this course was revised to reflect more in-depth instruction of working with English language learners. Faculty have been trained in Universal Design for Learning which is being used in courses both as a teaching model and a pedagogical approach. In employing UDL for instruction students focus on making curriculum accessible to as many students as possible by removing barriers. At times those barriers include the English language and therefore require that students consider strategies and representation, engagement, and expression which will enable English Language Learners to access curriculum with the appropriate instruction. It is a knowledge base that we are in the process of developing in all courses.

Currently the special education program is revising and developing programs to meet new certification requirements including early childhood/childhood dual program, CAS in special education, and BCBA in autism, and adaptive physical education. Efforts are underway to develop other inclusive education programs in teacher education.

## Section VII Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card. The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.
(1) The teacher education and educational leadership programs of the School of Education are accredited under the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC) Quality Principles through the CAEP Accreditation System, for a period of seven years, from October 28, 2014 to October 28, 2021. These programs will seek accreditation under the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) Standards in 2021. (2) November 20, 2014, the Middle States Commission on Higher Education accepted our Periodic Review Report (PRR), reaffirmed Hofstra's accreditation, and commended the University on the quality of the Periodic Review Report and process. In the Report to the Faculty, Administration, Trustees, Students of Hofstra University, dated 7/29/2014, the PRR review team indicated: "This Periodic Review Report is exceptional, but even more importantly, presents a University that is exemplary in its compliance with the Standards of Excellence. In particular, the reviewers commend Hofstra's accomplishments in assessment; given that assessment is the most common source of recommendations and follow-up obligations for Universities under review, we suggest that Middle States officially recognize Hofstra's assessment program as exemplary for the benefit of other institutions seeking to calibrate their assessment activity." Further, specifically regarding the University's assessment processes and results: "Hofstra's assessment operation demonstrates both breadth and depth. It boasts two cooperating offices to manage assessment, an Office of Accreditation and Outcomes Assessment and an Office of Institutional Research and Assessment, but also shows that an assessment sensibility pervades all academic units and administrative functions. Extended examples include an assessment in Oral Communication that started with a curricular map, proceeded to a resolution by faculty to enhance the range of courses exposing students to oral communication, and a validating follow-up assessment.

Additional examples in Chemistry, Psychology, Languages, Writing, Information Literacy, and other areas included strong assessment programs in multiple areas that represent frequent challenges, and a variety of methodologies, from curricular maps to the CLA to embedded questions to national disciplinary tests." The reviewers found both the report and the culture of assessment being reported on to be genuinely exemplary, and we commend Hofstra for its excellence in this challenging area. Given the high percentage of accredited colleges that receive recommendations about assessment, we believe that Middle States should consider identifying colleges that have an assessment program worth modeling. Hofstra's program would be an important selection for such identification."

## Supporting Files

## Complete Report Card

